
TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 43, 2014

Pages 183–200

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

ULTRAFILTERS AND PROPERTIES RELATED TO COMPACTNESS

by

J. ANGOA, Y. F. ORTIZ-CASTILLO, AND Á. TAMARIZ-MASCARÚA

Electronically published on August 21, 2013

Topology Proceedings

Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

ULTRAFILTERS AND PROPERTIES RELATED TO COMPACTNESS

J. ANGOA, Y. F. ORTIZ-CASTILLO, AND Á. TAMARIZ-MASCARÚA

ABSTRACT. In this article we introduce and analyze the following concepts: Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and let X be a topological space. We say that

(a) X is *strongly p -compact* if X is p -pseudocompact and for each sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points in X , there exists a sequence of open subsets $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of X , with $x_n \in U_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the set of p -limit points of the sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a non-empty compact subspace of X ;

(b) X is *strongly p -pseudocompact* if for each sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of open subsets of X , there exist a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points in X and $x \in X$ such that $x_n \in U_n$ and $x = p\text{-}\lim x_n$;

(c) X is *pseudo- ω -bounded* if for each countable family \mathcal{U} of open subsets of X , there is a compact $K \subseteq X$ such that, for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $K \cap U \neq \emptyset$;

(d) X is *p -pseudo- ω -bounded* if for each family $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of open subsets of X , there is a compact subspace $K \subseteq X$ such that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : K \cap U_n \neq \emptyset\} \in p$.

We prove:

- (1) Every strongly p -compact space is p -compact.
- (2) In the class of locally compact spaces, strong p -compactness and p -compactness are equivalent; and p -pseudo- ω -boundedness and p -pseudocompactness are equivalent too.
- (3) For two ultrafilters $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $p \leq_{RK} q$ if and only if every strongly q -pseudocompact space $\mathbb{N} \subseteq X \subseteq \beta\mathbb{N}$ is strongly p -pseudocompact.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54A20, 54D45, 54D99; Secondary 54D80, 54C45.

Key words and phrases. Strongly p -compact space, strongly p -pseudocompact space, pseudo- ω -bounded space, almost pseudo- ω -bounded space, p -pseudo- ω -bounded space.

This research was supported by PAPIIT No. IN-102910.

©2013 Topology Proceedings.

NOTATIONS AND BASIC DEFINITIONS

Every space in this paper is considered to be Tychonoff and has more than one point. ω is the first infinite cardinal number and ω_1 is the first non-countable cardinal number. The letter \mathbb{N} stands for the space of the natural numbers with its discrete topology. Given a set X , we use the following notation: $[X]^{<\omega} := \{A \subseteq X : |A| < \omega\}$ and $[X]^\omega := \{A \subseteq X : |A| = \omega\}$. If X is a topological space and $A \subseteq X$, we use $Cl_X(A)$ (or simply $Cl(A)$ if there is no possibility of confusion) to denote the closure of A in X . For spaces X, Y , $C(X, Y)$ denotes the set of all continuous functions with domain X and range contained in Y . As usual, with βX we denote the Stone-Čech compactification of X , and X^* denote the remainder $\beta X \setminus X$. Given two ultrafilters $p, q \in \beta\mathbb{N}$, we say that $p \leq_{RK} q$ if there exists a function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta f(q) = p$, where βf is the continuous extension of f to $\beta\mathbb{N}$. This relation is known as the *Rudin-Keisler* preorder on $\beta\mathbb{N}$.

If \leq is a preorder on X , we say that $p, q \in X$ are \leq -equivalent if $p \leq q$ and $q \leq p$; p, q are \leq -comparable if either $p \leq q$ or $q \leq p$; and p, q are \leq -incomparable if they are not \leq -comparable.

If X is the cartesian product $\prod_{s \in S} X_s$ of a family $\{X_s : s \in S\}$ of non-empty sets and $s \in S$, then π_s denotes the projection from X to X_s .

Given a space X , $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and a sequence $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-empty subsets of X , we say that $z \in X$ is a p -limit of $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ if for each neighborhood W of z , $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : S_n \cap W \neq \emptyset\} \in p$. A space X is p -compact (p -pseudocompact) if every sequence of points (of non-empty open subsets) of X has a p -limit point. Of course, if z and y are p -limits of a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points in X , then $z = y$. If x is the p -limit of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, we write $x = p\text{-lim } x_n$. The set $L(p, (S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ of p -limits of a sequence $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-empty subsets of X is always closed and have more than one point. We say that a space X is ω -bounded if every subset $A \in [X]^\omega$ is contained in a compact subset of X . The notions used and not defined in this article have the meaning given to them in [5].

INTRODUCTION

In 1975, J. Ginsburg and V. Saks introduced the concept of p -pseudocompactness in [8]. This notion, defined in terms of p -convergence of sequences of non-empty open subsets, generalizes pseudocompactness and is related to p -compactness, introduced by Bernstein [3] and analyzed by Ginsburg and Saks [8], in a similar way as pseudocompactness is related to compactness. Furthermore, it is related to the *Rudin-Keisler* preorder: every p -pseudocompact space is q -pseudocompact if and only if $p \leq_{RK} q$. Following the ideas in [3], [6] and [8], we introduce and analyze the concepts of strong p -compactness and strong p -pseudocompactness.

The study of all these concepts is relevant because they determine different kinds of countably compact and pseudocompact spaces with different properties. The property of pseudo- ω -boundedness was inspired in ω -boundedness; in [2] the authors proved that this property characterizes the pseudocompactness of the hyperspace of compact sets.

In Section 1, we introduce the notion of strong p -compactness, and we study its properties and relations with other properties; in particular, we prove: (1) every strongly p -compact space is p -compact, and (2) every locally compact p -compact space is strongly p -compact.

In Section 2, we prove that a Tychonoff product $\prod_{\alpha < \kappa} X_\alpha$ is strongly p -compact if and only if each X_α is strongly p -compact and $|\{\alpha < \kappa : X_\alpha \text{ is not compact}\}| \leq \omega$. Moreover, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an onto continuous and open function and X is strongly p -compact, then Y must be strongly p -compact.

In Section 3, we introduce and study the concepts of strong p -pseudocompactness and pseudo- ω -boundedness. We prove that both are productive properties (a property that pseudocompact spaces don't necessarily have). Finally, we introduce the almost pseudo- ω -bounded and the p -pseudo- ω -bounded spaces, and prove that in the class of locally compact spaces, strong p -compactness, p -compactness, p -pseudo- ω -boundedness and p -pseudocompactness are equivalent, and pseudocompactness is equivalent to almost pseudo- ω -boundedness.

p -pseudocompactness and strong p -pseudocompactness have similar properties including their relation with the Rudin-Keisler preorder; in particular, in Section 4, we show that $p \leq_{RK} q$ if and only if every strongly q -pseudocompact space $\mathbb{N} \subseteq X \subseteq \beta\mathbb{N}$ is strongly p -pseudocompact; from this fact we derive new results which have a similar flavor to those given in Theorem 1.5 in [6].

Finally, in Section 5, we give an example of a strong p -compact, non-pseudo ω -bounded space and a strong p -compact, non- q -compact space.

1. STRONG p -COMPACTNESS

Definition 1.1. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We say that a space X is *strongly p -compact* if X is p -pseudocompact and for each sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points in X , there exists a sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of open subsets of X , with $x_n \in U_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is a non-empty compact subspace of X .

Lemma 1.2. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. The following properties are equivalent for a topological space X :

- (1) X is p -compact;

- (2) for every sequence $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-empty subsets of X , $L(p, (S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \neq \emptyset$,
- (3) for each sequence $(D_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-empty closed subsets of X , it happens that $L(p, (D_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \neq \emptyset$; and
- (4) for every sequence $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-empty subsets of X , we have that the set $L(p, (S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is not empty and for each open subset U of X satisfying

$$\{x = p - \lim x_n : x_n \in S_n \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq U,$$

it happens that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : S_n \subseteq U\} \in p$.

Proof. All the implications are obvious except for the second assertion of $(1 \Rightarrow 4)$. Assume that there is a sequence $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-empty subsets of X , and assume that U is an open subset of X such that

$$\{x = p - \lim x_n : x_n \in S_n \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq U$$

and $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : S_n \subseteq U\} \notin p$. In particular, the set $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : S_n \not\subseteq U\}$ belongs to p . Take $x_n \in S_n \setminus U$ if $n \in A$, and $x_n \in S_n$ if $n \notin A$. Since X is p -compact, there is $z \in X$ such that $z = p - \lim x_n$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_n \in S_n$, so $z \in \{x = p - \lim x_n : x_n \in S_n \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq U$. Moreover, by definition, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \notin U\} = A$. Since p is an ultrafilter, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in U\} \notin p$; this is a contradiction. \square

Proposition 1.3. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $A \in p$. If X is a p -compact space, then for every sequence $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-empty subsets of X we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} L(p, (S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) &= Cl(\{x = p - \lim x_n : x_n \in S_n \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \\ &= Cl(\{x = p - \lim x_n : x_n \in S_n \text{ for each } n \in A\}). \quad (i) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $A \in p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \{x = p - \lim x_n : x_n \in S_n \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}\} &= \\ \{x = p - \lim x_n : x_n \in S_n \text{ for each } n \in A\}. \end{aligned}$$

So, the second equality (i) is obvious. Let

$$T = \{x = p - \lim x_n : x_n \in S_n \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

It is clear that $T \subseteq L(p, (S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$. Then $Cl(T) \subseteq L(p, (S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ because $L(p, (S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is closed. Now we only have to prove that $L(p, (S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \subseteq Cl(T)$.

Let $x \notin Cl(T)$ and let U and V be two disjoint open subsets of X such that $Cl(T) \subseteq U$ and $x \in V$. By Lemma 1.2, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : S_n \subseteq U\} \in p$. Since U and V are disjoint open sets,

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : S_n \cap V \neq \emptyset\} \subseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N} : S_n \not\subseteq U\} \notin p.$$

This implies that $x \notin L(p, (S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$. So, we obtain the required equality. \square

We are going to obtain some basic properties about strong p -compactness. First a notation and some preliminary results.

Notation 1.4. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and let \mathcal{B} be a family of sequences of nonempty subsets of X . We denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}}$ the set $\{L(p, B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$.

Proposition 1.5. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and let $S = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X . For each $x_m \in \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, consider a local base \mathcal{N}_m of x_m in X . Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : U_n \in \mathcal{N}_n \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then, $x = p\text{-lim } x_n$ if and only if $\bigcap \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}} = \{x\}$.

Proof. It is clear that if $x = p\text{-lim } x_n$, then $x \in L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ for each sequence of open subsets $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus, $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Let x be an element of $\bigcap \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and assume that x is not a p -limit of sequence S . Then, there is a neighborhood W of x such that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in W\} \notin p$. Let V be an open neighborhood of x such that $Cl(V) \subseteq W$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \notin cl(V)$, let $B_n \in \mathcal{N}_n$ such that $B_n \cap Cl(V) = \emptyset$, and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \in cl(V)$, choose whatever $B_n \in \mathcal{N}_n$.

Then,

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : B_n \cap V \neq \emptyset\} \subseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in Cl(V)\} \subseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in W\};$$

so $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : B_n \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$ does not belong to p , but this is not possible because our hypothesis says that

$$x \in \bigcap \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq L(p, (B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}).$$

Hence, $x = p\text{-lim } x_n$. Since the p -limits of sequences are unique, we obtain $\bigcap \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}} = \{x\}$. \square

Lemma 1.6. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and let X be a p -pseudocompact space. Then, for every sequence S and every family \mathcal{B} defined as in Proposition 1.5, the collection $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}}$ has the finite intersection property.

Proof. Take $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{B} . Since for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathcal{N}_n is a local base of x_n , there is $B_n \in \mathcal{N}_n$ such that $x_n \in B_n \subseteq V_n \cap U_n$. Since X is p -pseudocompact,

$$\emptyset \neq L(p, (B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}} \quad \text{and}$$

$$L(p, (B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \subseteq L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \cap L(p, (V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}).$$

This concludes our proof. \square

Now, we establish a basic fact about the relationship between p -compactness and strong p -compactness.

Theorem 1.7. *For every ultrafilter $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, every strongly p -compact space is p -compact.*

Proof. Let X be a strongly p -compact space. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of points in X . Let $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of open subsets of X such that $L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is compact and $x_n \in U_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathcal{N}_n be the family of all open subsets of X containing the point x_n and contained in U_n . It is clear that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathcal{N}_n is a local base of x_n .

Now we define the families

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : V_n \in \mathcal{N}_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}} = \{L(p, (V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) : (V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

Note that for each sequence $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{B}$, the set $L(p, (V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is contained in $L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ because for each $V_n \in \mathcal{N}_n$, $V_n \subseteq U_n$. Since X is p -pseudocompact, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a family of compact sets with the finite intersection property (Lemma 1.6); so, $\bigcap \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}} \neq \emptyset$. Because of Theorem 1.5, the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a p -limit point. Therefore, X is p -compact. \square

Finally we are going to give an example of a p -compact space which is not strongly p -compact. Recall that, given an ultrafilter $p \in \beta\mathbb{N}$, $P_{RK}(p)$ denotes the set $\{q \in \beta\mathbb{N} : q \leq_{RK} p\}$.

Remark 1.8. If $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $P = \{z \in \beta\mathbb{N} : \text{there is a sequence } (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ in } \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } z = p\text{-lim } x_n\}$, then $P \cup \mathbb{N} = P_{RK}(p)$.

It is well-known that every closed subset of a p -compact space is p -compact, and the product of a collection of p -compact spaces is still p -compact. So, for every Tychonoff space X and every free ultrafilter p , there is a unique space, up to homeomorphism, $\beta_p(X)$ satisfying:

- (1) X is dense in $\beta_p(X)$,
- (2) $\beta_p(X)$ is p -compact, and
- (3) for every p -compact space Y and every function $f \in C(X, Y)$, there is a function $F \in C(\beta_p(X), Y)$ such that $F|_X = f$.

It is also known that $\beta_p(X)$ is the intersection of all the p -compact subspaces of βX containing X (see [8] and Chapter 5 of [9]).

Example 1.9. For every free ultrafilter p on \mathbb{N} , the space $X = \beta_p(\mathbb{N})$ is not strongly p -compact.

Proof. Let $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a partition of \mathbb{N} in infinite sets and pick $x_n \in U_n^* \cap \beta_p(\mathbb{N})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $L(p, (V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is infinite for every sequence of open sets $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $x_n \in V_n \subseteq cl_X(U_n)$. Since $|\beta_p(\mathbb{N})| = 2^\omega$ and every infinite closed subset of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ has cardinality 2^{2^ω} , $L_X(p, (V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ can not be compact. So we must have that $\beta_p(\mathbb{N})$ is not strongly p -compact. \square

It is known that every p -compact space is countably compact; so, countable compactness does not imply strong p -compactness. Below, in Example 5.3, we show a strongly p -compact subspace of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ which contains \mathbb{N} .

Theorem 1.10. *The property of being strongly p -compact is inherited by closed subsets.*

Proof. Assume that X is a strongly p -compact space. Let $B \subset X$ be a non-empty closed subset of X , $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in B , and let $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of open subsets of X such that $L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is compact and $x_n \in U_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that $(B \cap U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of open subsets of B with $x_n \in B \cap U_n$. By Theorem 1.7, X is p -compact, so B is p -compact (Theorem 2.4 in [8]). If $x = p - \lim x_n$, then

$$x \in L_B(p, (B \cap U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \subseteq B \cap L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}).$$

So, $L_B(p, (B \cap U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is not empty. Since $L_B(p, (B \cap U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is closed in B , it is closed in the compact subspace $B \cap L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$. Hence, we conclude that $L_B(p, (B \cap U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is compact. Moreover, B is p -pseudocompact because it is p -compact. \square

Theorem 1.11. *Every locally compact p -compact space is strongly p -compact.*

Proof. Let X be a locally compact p -compact space and let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of points in X . Since X is p -compact, there is $x \in X$ such that $x = p - \lim x_n$. Now, take an open neighborhood U of x such that $Cl(U)$ is compact. Let V be a neighborhood of x satisfying $Cl(V) \subseteq U$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \notin Cl(V)$, take a neighborhood U_n of x_n such that $U_n \subseteq X \setminus Cl(V)$, and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x_n \in Cl(V)$, take a neighborhood U_n of x_n such that $U_n \subseteq U$.

We claim that $X \setminus Cl(U)$ is a subset of $X \setminus L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$. Indeed, assume that $z \notin Cl(U)$. Then $X \setminus Cl(U)$ is an open subset of X containing z . Furthermore,

$$\{n : U_n \cap (X \setminus Cl(U)) \neq \emptyset\} \cap \{n : U_n \cap V \neq \emptyset\} = \emptyset.$$

Since $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : U_n \cap V \neq \emptyset\} \in p$, we have that $\{n : U_n \cap (X \setminus Cl(U)) \neq \emptyset\} \notin p$. This means that $z \notin L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$. Therefore, $L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \subseteq Cl(U)$. Since $Cl(U)$ is compact and $L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is closed, $L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is compact. \square

Corollary 1.12. *Every compact space is strongly p -compact.*

Observe that ω_1 , with its order topology, is ω -bounded, locally compact, collectionwise normal, first countable, strongly p -compact for every $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, but it is not compact.

Corollary 1.13. *Let X be a p -compact space and let Y be a locally compact space. If there is a continuous and onto function $f : X \rightarrow Y$, then Y is strongly p -compact.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 in [8], Y is p -compact, and by Theorem 1.11, Y is strongly p -compact. \square

Remark 1.14. It is natural to ask what happens if we replace the condition $\mathbf{L}(p, (\mathbf{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is compact by the condition $\mathbf{L}(p, (\mathbf{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is p -compact in Definition 1.1. Of course, every p -compact space satisfies this new property. We consider that the most interesting question which arises from this new concept is if every space with the new property is p -compact (or at least countable compact). Our conjecture is that this property does not imply p -compactness. In the proof of Theorem 1.7 the hypothesis **the closed subsets $\mathbf{L}(p, (\mathbf{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}}$ are compact** can not be weakened by the hypothesis **the closed subsets $\mathbf{L}(p, (\mathbf{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}}$ are p -compact** because this last assertion only guarantees that $\bigcap \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}} \neq \emptyset$ when \mathcal{B} is a countable family. Although at this moment we do not have a counterexample, we think that it is possible to construct such an example and this is an interesting non-trivial problem.

2. PRODUCTS, IMAGES AND PREIMAGES OF STRONGLY p -COMPACT SPACES

It is known that the product of p -compact spaces and the continuous image of a p -compact space is a p -compact space (Lemma 2.3 in [8] and Theorem 4.2 in [3]). With respect to the images and productivity of strongly p -compactness we have:

Theorem 2.1. *For every $p \in \beta\mathbb{N}$, strong p -compactness is invariant under continuous open functions.*

Proof. Let X be a strongly p -compact space, $f \in C(X, Y)$ open and onto, and let $p \in \beta\mathbb{N}$. Also, let $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in Y . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, pick $x_n \in f^{-1}(y_n)$. Since X is strongly p -compact, there exist open subsets U_n of X such that $x_n \in U_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is compact.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by V_n the open set $f[U_n]$. We have that $y_n \in V_n$, so it will be enough to show the equality

$$L(p, (V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = f[L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})].$$

By Theorem 1.3.(3) of [6], $L(p, (V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \supseteq f[L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})]$. Now, take

$$z \in L(p, (V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \setminus f[L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})].$$

Since $L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is compact, $f[L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})]$ is compact too, so we can find disjoint open subsets B_1, B_2 in Y such that $z \in B_1$

and $f[L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})] \subseteq B_2$. By Proposition 1.3, there exist $z' \in B_1$ and $z_n \in V_n$ such that $z' = p\text{-lim } z_n$; then, $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : z_n \in B_1\} \in p$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(z_n) \subseteq f^{-1}[B_1]$ for all $n \in A$. Moreover, it is clear that $f^{-1}[B_1]$ and $f^{-1}[B_2]$ are disjoint open subsets of X and

$$L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \subseteq f^{-1}[B_2].$$

Let $B = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : U_n \subseteq f^{-1}[B_2]\}$. It is clear that $A \cap B = \emptyset$, but Lemma 1.2 guarantees that $B \in p$. Since p is an ultrafilter and $A \in p$, it happens that $\emptyset = A \cap B \in p$ which is a contradiction. Then, we must have $z \in f[L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})]$ and we conclude that $L(p, (V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = f[L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})]$. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let $\mathcal{X} = \{X_s : s \in S\}$ be a family of topological spaces and let X be the topological product of such a family. Then, X is strongly p -compact if and only if X_s is strongly p -compact for every $s \in S$, and $|\{s \in S : X_s \text{ is not compact}\}| \leq \omega$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Assume that X is strongly p -compact. By Theorem 1.10, each X_t is strongly p -compact because it is homeomorphic to a closed subset of X .

Now, assume that $T \subseteq S$ is such that X_t is not compact for each $t \in T$. Suppose that $|T| > \omega$. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$ be a sequence of points and $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of open subsets of X such that $x_n \in U_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 1.7, X_t is p -compact for each $t \in S$. So, X is p -compact (Theorem 4.2 of [3]). Let x_0 be the p -limit point of the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $A_n \in [S]^{<\omega}$, and there is, for each $s \in A_n$, an open set W_n^s of X_s such that $x_n \in \bigcap_{s \in A_n} \pi_s^{-1}[W_n^s] \subseteq U_n$. The set $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ is countable. Now define the set

$$B = \{x \in X : \pi_s(x) = \pi_s(x_0) \text{ for all } s \in A\}.$$

Claim: $B \subseteq L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$.

Indeed, take $x \in B$, $F \in [S]^{<\omega}$ and $V = \bigcap_{s \in F} \pi_s^{-1}[V_s]$ where V_s is an open subset of X_s such that $x \in V$. By definition of A , we have $\pi_s[U_n] = X_s$ for each $s \notin A$; so, if $F \cap A = \emptyset$, then $V \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Assume now that $F \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \{n \in \mathbb{N} : V \cap U_n \neq \emptyset\} &\supseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N} : (\bigcap_{s \in F \cap A} \pi_s^{-1}[V_s]) \cap U_n \neq \emptyset\} \supseteq \\ &\bigcap_{s \in F \cap A} \{n \in \mathbb{N} : V_s \cap W_n^s \neq \emptyset\} \supseteq \bigcap_{s \in F \cap A} \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \pi_s(x_n) \in V_s\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3 in [8], $\pi_s(x_0) = p\text{-}\lim \pi_s(x_n)$ for each $s \in S$; so, for each $s \in A$, $\pi_s(x) = p\text{-}\lim \pi_s(x_n)$. Then, for each $s \in A$,

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \pi_s(x_n) \in V_s\} \in p.$$

Since $F \cap A$ is finite, we have that

$$\bigcap_{s \in F \cap A} \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \pi_s(x_n) \in V_s\} \in p;$$

this implies that $x \in L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$, and the proof of the Claim has concluded.

Since $|A| < |T|$, we can take $t \in T \setminus A$. The space X_t is homeomorphic to a closed subset of X contained in $B \subseteq L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$. But X_t is not compact, so $L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ cannot be compact; this is a contradiction because we have supposed that X is strongly p -compact. So, we must have $|T| \leq \omega$.

(\Leftarrow) Now suppose that X_s is strongly p -compact for every $s \in S$. Let $T = \{s \in S : X_s \text{ is not compact}\}$ and assume that $T \neq \emptyset$. We have to consider two cases:

I. $|T| = \omega$. Define $X_T = \prod_{t \in T} X_t$, let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of X_T , and for each $n < \omega$, $t \in T$ let U_n^t be an open subset of X_t such that $\pi_t(x_n) \in U_n^t$ and $L(p, (U_n^t)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is compact. Enumerate the set T as $\{t_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and, for each $n < \omega$, consider the set $V_n = \bigcap_{m \leq n} \pi_{t_m}^{-1}[U_n^{t_m}]$. Each V_n is a canonical open subset of X_T containing x_n .

We are going to show that $L(p, (V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \subseteq \prod_{t \in T} L_{X_t}(p, (U_n^t)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = L$. In fact, take $x \notin L$, so there is $r \in T$ such that $\pi_r(x) \notin L_{X_r}(p, (U_n^r)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$. Let W be an open neighborhood of $\pi_r(x)$ such that $\{n : W \cap U_n^r = \emptyset\} \in p$. Observe that $V_n \subseteq \pi_r^{-1}[U_n^r]$ for every $n > m$, where $r = t_m$. Hence

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \pi_r^{-1}[W] \cap V_n = \emptyset\} \supseteq \{n \geq t_m : W \cap U_n^r = \emptyset\} \in p.$$

Since $x \in \pi_r^{-1}[W]$, we obtain $x \notin L(p, (V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$, and so $L(p, (V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is compact.

II. $|T| < \omega$. This case is a consequence of Case I and Theorem 2.1.

If $T = S$ the proof is finished. Suppose $T \neq S$. Since X is the product of a strongly p -compact and a compact space, by Case II, X is strongly p -compact. \square

Remark 2.3. From Theorems 1.11 and 2.2, we can deduce that if X is a strongly p -compact non-compact space, then X^ω is strongly p -compact and it is not locally compact. On the other hand, every infinite discrete space is locally compact and it is not strongly p -compact.

The following lemma is Theorem 3.7.26 in [5].

Lemma 2.4. *Let P be a property which is inherited by closed subsets and every product of a compact space with a space satisfying P has P . If Y has P and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous and perfect function, then X has property P .*

Corollary 2.5. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then every continuous and perfect preimage of a strongly p -compact space is strongly p -compact.*

Proof. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous perfect and onto function. Assume that Y is a strongly p -compact space. By Theorem 1.10, the case II in Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.4, we conclude that X is a strongly p -compact space. \square

Question 2.6. *Is it possible to find a strongly p -compact space X , a space Y which is not strongly p -compact and a (closed or perfect) continuous function $f : X \rightarrow Y$?*

3. STRONG p -PSEUDOCOMPACTNESS AND PSEUDO- ω -BOUNDEDNESS

Definition 3.1. Let X be a topological space and $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We say that X is:

- (1) *strongly p -pseudocompact* if for each sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of open subsets of X there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points in X and there is $x \in X$ such that $x = p\text{-}\lim x_n$ and $x_n \in U_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (2) *pseudo- ω -bounded* if for each countable family \mathcal{U} of open subsets of X , there is a compact $K \subseteq X$ such that $K \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$.

Observe that every p -compact space is strongly p -pseudocompact.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$.*

- (1) *Every pseudo- ω -bounded space is strongly p -pseudocompact and every strongly p -pseudocompact space is p -pseudocompact.*
- (2) *If X contains a dense strongly p -pseudocompact subspace, then X is strongly p -pseudocompact.*
- (3) *Regular closed subsets inherit the property of being strongly p -pseudocompact.*
- (4) *X is pseudo- ω -bounded if and only if for each sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of open subsets of X , there exist points $x_n \in U_n$ such that, for every $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a p -limit.*

Proof. (1) We are going to prove the first assertion because the second one can be proved easily. Let $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of non-empty open subsets of X . Let K be a compact subset of X which has a non-empty

intersection with U_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a point $x_n \in K \cap U_n$. Since the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is contained in K , there is $x \in K$ such that $x = p - \lim x_n$.

(2) Let $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of open subsets of X . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let V_n be the set $U_n \cap Y$. Then, $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of open sets of Y , so there are points $x_n \in V_n \subseteq U_n$ and $x \in Y \subseteq X$ such that x is the p -limit of the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in Y . Now it is easy to show that x is the p -limit of the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X . Thus, we conclude that X is strongly p -compact.

(3) Let D be a regular closed subset of X and let $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of open subsets of D . Since $D = Cl(Int(D))$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $V_n = U_n \cap Int(D)$ is open in X . Since X is strongly p -pseudocompact, there are points $x_n \in V_n \subseteq U_n$ and $x \in X$ such that $x = p - \lim x_n$. Since $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in D , D is closed and $x \in Cl\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, then $x \in D$.

(4) (\Rightarrow) Suppose that X is pseudo- ω -bounded. Let $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of open subsets of X and let K be a compact subspace of X such that $K \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, take an element $x_n \in K \cap U_n$. Since $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq K$ and K is compact, $Cl_X(\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$ is compact; so, the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a p -limit point in X for each $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of non-empty open subsets of X and let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of points such that $x_n \in U_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a p -limit point in X for each $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Now, Theorem 3.4 in [3] guarantees that $K = Cl_X(\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$ is compact. Moreover, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_n \in K \cap U_n$. \square

Remark 3.3. Every ω -bounded space is pseudo- ω -bounded and every p -compact space is strongly p -pseudocompact. On the other hand, it is possible to find pseudo- ω -bounded spaces which are not strongly p -compact (for example, Σ -products of compact spaces). In Example 5.3, below, we show an strongly p -compact space which is not pseudo- ω -bounded.

Theorem 3.4. *The property of being strongly p -pseudocompact is invariant under continuous images.*

Proof. Let X be a strongly p -pseudocompact space. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous and onto function. Finally, let $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of non-empty open subsets of Y . It is clear that $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $V_n = f^{-1}[U_n]$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a sequence of open subsets of X ; so, there exist points $x_n \in V_n$ and $x \in X$ such that $x = p - \lim x_n$. Then, $f(x_n) \in U_n$, $f(x) \in Y$ and $f(x) = p - \lim f(x_n)$ (Lemma 2.3 in [8]). \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\{X_s : s \in S\}$ be a family of topological spaces and X the Tychonoff product of such a family. Then, X is strongly p -pseudocompact if and only if for each $s \in S$, X_s is strongly p -pseudocompact.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) This implication is a consequence of Theorem 3.4.

(\Leftarrow) Let $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of open subsets of X . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $s \in S$, let V_n^s be a non-empty open subset of X_s such that $V_n = \bigcap_{s \in S} \pi_s^{-1}[V_n^s] \subseteq U_n$. Since X_s is strongly p -pseudocompact for each $s \in S$, there is a sequence $(x_n^s)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points in X_s and there is a point $x^s \in X_s$ such that $x_n^s \in V_n^s$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x^s = p\text{-}\lim x_n^s$. Take the point $x \in X$ such that $\pi_s(x) = x^s$ for each $s \in S$. Finally, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, take $x_n \in X$ such that $\pi_s(x_n) = x_n^s$ for each $s \in S$. It is clear that $x_n \in U_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x = p\text{-}\lim x_n$. We conclude that X is strongly p -pseudocompact. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Pseudo- ω -boundedness is a productive property and invariant under continuous functions. Also, regular closed subsets inherit this property. Furthermore, if a space X contains a dense pseudo- ω -bounded subspace, then X is pseudo- ω -bounded.*

Theorem 3.7. *Let $A \in p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and let X be a strongly p -pseudocompact space. Then, for each sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-empty open subsets of X , it happens that*

$$L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = Cl(Q)$$

where $Q = \{x \in X : \text{there is a sequence } (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ with } x_n \in U_n \text{ for each } n \in A \text{ and } x = p\text{-}\lim x_n\}$.

Proof. Using arguments similar to those given in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we have $Cl(Q) \subseteq L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$. We are only going to prove the relation $L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \subseteq Cl(Q)$.

Let $z \notin Cl(Q)$ and let V, W be disjoint open subsets of X such that $z \in V$ and $Cl(Q) \subseteq W$. We will show that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : V \cap U_n \neq \emptyset\} \notin p$. Assume the contrary: $\{n : V \cap U_n \neq \emptyset\} \in p$ and take, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $V_n = U_n \cap V$ if $U_n \cap V \neq \emptyset$ and $V_n = U_n$ otherwise.

Since X is strongly p -pseudocompact, there are points $x_n \in V_n$ and $x \in X$ such that $x = p\text{-}\lim x_n$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $V_n \subseteq U_n$, so $x \in Q$ and W is an open neighborhood of x . This means that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in W\} \in p$, but this is not possible because V and W have an empty intersection; so, we must have

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in W\} \subseteq \{n : V \cap U_n = \emptyset\} \notin p.$$

This concludes our proof. \square

Definition 3.8. Let X be a space and $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

- (1) We say that X is almost pseudo- ω -bounded if for each infinite countable family \mathcal{U} of open subsets of X , there is a compact subspace $K \subseteq X$ such that $|\{U \in \mathcal{U} : K \cap U \neq \emptyset\}| = \omega$.
- (2) We say that X is p -pseudo- ω -bounded if for each family $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of open subsets of X , there is a compact subspace $K \subseteq X$ such that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : K \cap U_n \neq \emptyset\} \in p$.

Theorem 3.9. *If X is locally compact, then the following statements are equivalent for every ultrafilter $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$:*

- (1) X is p -pseudo- ω -bounded,
- (2) X is strongly p -pseudocompact, and
- (3) X is p -pseudocompact.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of non-empty open subsets of X and let K be a compact set such that $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : K \cap U_n \neq \emptyset\} \in p$. Pick $x_n \in K \cap U_n$ if $n \in A$ and choose an arbitrary $x_n \in U_n$ when $n \notin A$. Since $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in K which is compact it has p -limit.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) is obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of non-empty open subsets of X and let $x \in L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$. Let W be a compact neighborhood of x . Consider the set

$$A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : U_n \cap \text{int}(W) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we take $x_n \in U_n \cap \text{int}(W)$ if $n \in A$ and let x_n be equal to x if $n \notin A$. Since $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $Cl(W)$ which is compact, then $Cl(\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$ is compact. \square

Note that, in the last result, the locally compactness is necessary just for the implication (3) \Rightarrow (1).

Corollary 3.10. *If X is locally compact, then X is pseudocompact if and only if it is almost pseudo- ω -bounded.*

The following questions are inspired in a question posed by M. Sanchiz and Á. Tamariz-Mascarúa [10], which remain without an answer.

Question 3.11. *Is it true that for every free ultrafilter p on \mathbb{N} every (normal, first countable) topological space (topological group) X is strongly p -pseudocompact if and only if it is p -pseudocompact?*

Question 3.12. *Is it true that for every free ultrafilter p on \mathbb{N} every normal or first countable) topological space (topological group) X is p -compact if and only if it is strongly p -pseudocompact?*

Question 3.13. *Is there some countable compact (strongly pseudocompact) space non-strongly p -pseudocompact for all p on \mathbb{N} ?*

Where a space X is strongly pseudocompact if, for each sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of open subsets of X there is $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $x_n \in U_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has p -limit.

4. STRONG p -PSEUDOCOMPACTNESS AND THE RUDIN-KEISLER PRE-ORDER ON $\beta\omega$

Theorem 4.1. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and let X be a space having a dense subset of isolated points S . Then, X is strongly p -pseudocompact if and only if X is p -pseudocompact.*

Proof. Assume that X is p -pseudocompact. Let $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of non-empty open subsets of X . Since S is dense in X , for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can take a point $x_n \in U_n \cap S$. Since the points in S are isolated and X is p -pseudocompact, $(\{x_n\})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of non-empty open subsets of X and $L(p, (\{x_n\})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \neq \emptyset$. If $x \in L(p, (\{x_n\})_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$, then $x = p\text{-}\lim x_n$. □

Corollary 4.2. *Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then, the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) $p \leq_{RK} q$,
- (2) every q -pseudocompact space is p -pseudocompact,
- (3) $P_{RK}(q)$ is strongly p -pseudocompact,
- (4) every strongly q -pseudocompact space $\mathbb{N} \subseteq X \subseteq \beta\mathbb{N}$ is strongly p -pseudocompact.

Proof. The equivalence (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) and the implication (3) \Rightarrow (1) are consequences of Theorem 1.5 in [6]. Finally, the implication (2) \Rightarrow (3) and the equivalence (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) follow from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 1.9 in [6] which says that a space X with $\mathbb{N} \subseteq X \subseteq \beta\mathbb{N}$ is p -pseudocompact if and only if $P_{RK}(p) \subseteq X$. □

Question 4.3. *Is it true that for every free ultrafilter p on ω every (normal, first countable) space X is p -compact if and only if it is strongly p -pseudocompact?*

Definition 4.4. Let X be a topological space and let \mathcal{D} be a non-empty subset of \mathbb{N}^* . We say that X is pseudo- \mathcal{D} -bounded if for each sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-empty open subsets of X , there are both a sequence of points $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X and a set $\{x_p : p \in \mathcal{D}\} \subseteq X$ such that $x_n \in U_n$ and $x_p = p\text{-}\lim x_n$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\mathbb{N} \subseteq X \subseteq \beta\mathbb{N}$. Then, the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) X is pseudo- \mathcal{D} -bounded,
- (2) X is strongly p -pseudocompact for all $p \in \mathcal{D}$, and
- (3) X is p -pseudocompact for every $p \in \mathcal{D}$.

Proof. The implications (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) are obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of non-empty open subsets of X . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, take $x_n \in U_n \cap \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 1.9 in [6] and Remark 1.8 above, for each $p \in \mathcal{D}$, $p - \lim x_n \in P_{RK}(p) \subseteq X$. \square

Notation 4.6. *Let $q \in \beta\mathbb{N}$. We will denote by $S_{RK}(q)$ the set of Rudin-Keisler successors of q : $S_{RK}(q) = \{p \in \beta(\mathbb{N}) : p \geq_{RK} q\}$.*

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\mathbb{N} \subseteq X \subseteq \beta(\mathbb{N})$. Then, the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) $X = \beta\mathbb{N}$,
- (2) X is pseudo- ω -bounded,
- (3) X is pseudo- \mathbb{N}^* -bounded,
- (4) X is strongly p -pseudocompact for every $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$,
- (5) X is p -pseudocompact for every $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$,
- (6) X is pseudo- \mathcal{D} -bounded and for each $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\mathcal{D} \cap S_{RK}(q) \neq \emptyset$,
- (7) for every $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ there is $p \in S_{RK}(q)$ such that X is strongly p -pseudocompact, and
- (8) for all $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there is $p \in S_{RK}(q)$ such that X is p -pseudocompact.

Proof. The implications (1) \Rightarrow (2), (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) and (2) \Rightarrow (6) \Rightarrow (7) \Rightarrow (8) are evident. The equivalence (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) is (4) from Theorem 3.2. The implication (5) \Rightarrow (1) follows from Lemma 1.9 in [6]. Finally, (8) \Rightarrow (5) is a consequence of Theorem 1.5 in [6]. \square

Corollary 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\mathbb{N} \subseteq X \subseteq \beta\mathbb{N}$. If X is pseudo- \mathcal{D} -bounded and it is not pseudo- ω -bounded, then there is $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^* \setminus S_{RK}(q)$.*

5. STRONG p -COMPACTNESS AND STRONG p -PSEUDOCOMPACTNESS

Recall that a point $x \in X$ is a weak P -point in X if x is not an accumulation point of any countable subset of X . The following result is known.

Lemma 5.1. ([11]) *There are 2^{2^ω} weak P -points in \mathbb{N}^* which are pairwise \leq_{RK} -incomparable.*

Definition 5.2. Let X be a topological space and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^*$. We say that X is strongly \mathcal{D} -compact if for every sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X , there is a sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of open sets such that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_n \in U_n$ and for each $p \in \mathcal{D}$, X is p -pseudocompact and $L(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is compact.

We finish this paper with one example of a space X with properties closer to pseudo- ω -boundedness which do not imply that X must be pseudo- ω -bounded. The spirit of this last example is to reinforce the relevance of the pseudo- ω -boundedness.

Example 5.3. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be a weak P -point. Let \mathcal{D} be the set of all ultrafilters on \mathbb{N} which are RK -incomparable with q . Denote by \mathcal{Q} the set $\mathbb{N}^* \setminus S_{RK}(q)$. Then, $X = \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \{q\}$ and \mathcal{Q} satisfy the following properties:

- (1) X is locally compact,
- (2) X is strongly \mathcal{D} -compact,
- (3) X is pseudo- \mathcal{Q} -bounded and \mathcal{Q} is dense in \mathbb{N}^* ,
- (4) X is not q -compact, and
- (5) X is not pseudo- ω -bounded.

Besides, we can choose q in such a way that $|\mathcal{Q}| = |\mathcal{D}| = 2^{2^\omega}$.

Proof. It is evident that X is not q -compact because $q \notin X$. Since X is open in $\beta\mathbb{N}$, it is locally compact. It is also clear that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$. By Lemma 5.1, there are 2^{2^ω} weak P -points in \mathbb{N}^* which are pairwise RK -incomparable; so, we can assume that $|\mathcal{D}| = |\mathcal{Q}| = 2^{2^\omega}$. Moreover, for each $p \in \mathcal{Q}$, $P_{RK}(p) \subseteq X$; thus, \mathcal{Q} is dense in \mathbb{N}^* . By Corollary 4.8, X is pseudo- \mathcal{Q} -bounded and it is not pseudo- ω -bounded.

Finally, we are going to show that X is strongly \mathcal{D} -compact. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X . Consider the set $A = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap \mathbb{N}^*$. Let U, V be two disjoint clopen subsets of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ such that $Cl_X(A) \subseteq U$ and $q \in V$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, take $U_n = \{x_n\}$ if $x_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $U_n \subseteq U$ be a canonical clopen neighborhood of x_n if $x_n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let $p \in \mathcal{D}$. If $B = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in \mathbb{N}^*\} \in p$, then, by Proposition 1.3,

$$L_X(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = L_X(p, (U_n)_{n \in B}) = L_U(p, (U_n)_{n \in B}).$$

Since U is a non-empty compact space, $L_U(p, (U_n)_{n \in B})$ is compact too. On the other hand, if $C = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in p$, then, by Proposition 1.3 and Remark 1.8,

$$L_{\beta(\mathbb{N})}(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = \{p - \lim_C x_n\} \subseteq P_{RK}(p) \subseteq X.$$

Therefore, $L_X(p, (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is a non-empty compact subspace of X . □

In particular, if $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ is a weak P -point and $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ is \leq_{RK} -incomparable with q , then the space $X = \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \{q\}$ is strongly p -compact, locally compact, not q -compact and not pseudo- ω -bounded.

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for careful reading and very useful suggestions and comments that help to improve the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Angoa, Y. Ortiz-Castillo, Á. Tamariz-Mascarúa, *Normality and λ -extendable properties in $\Sigma_\kappa(F)$ -products*, Top. Proc. **39** (2012), 251–279.
- [2] ———, *Compact-like properties in hyperspaces*, Matematicki Vesnik, Vol. **65** No. 3 (2013), pp. 306–318.
- [3] A. Bernstein, *A new kind of compactness for topological spaces*, Fund. Math. **66** (1970), 185–193.
- [4] W. Comfort, *Ultrafilters: Some Old and some New Results*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1977), 417–455.
- [5] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [6] S. García-Ferreira, *Some Generalizations of Pseudocompactness*, Ann. New York Acad. Sci. **728** (1994), 22–31.
- [7] S. García-Ferreira, *Three Orderings On $\beta(\omega) \setminus \omega$* , Topology Appl. **50** (1993), 199–216.
- [8] J. Ginsburg and V. Saks, *Some Applications of Ultrafilters in Topology*, Pacific J. of Math. **57** (1975), 403–418.
- [9] J. Porter and R. Woods, *Extensions and Absolutes of Hausdorff Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
- [10] M. Sanchis, Á. Tamariz-Mascarúa *p -pseudocompactness and related topics in topological spaces*, Topology Appl. **98** (1999), 323–343.
- [11] P. Simon, *Applications of independent linked families*, Topology, theory and applications (Eger, 1983) Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai **41** (North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1985) 561–580.

FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS FÍSICO-MATEMÁTICAS, BENEMERITA UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE PUEBLA.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNAM.

E-mail address: jangoa@cfm.buap.mx

E-mail address: yasserfoc@yahoo.com.mx

E-mail address: atamariz@servidor.unam.mx